

**MINUTES**  
**Regular Meeting of the College Park City Council**  
**Tuesday, August 11, 2015**  
**Council Chambers**  
**8:40 p.m. – 10:35 p.m.**

**PRESENT:** Mayor Fellows; Councilmembers Kabir, Wojahn, Brennan, Dennis, Stulich, Day, Hew and Mitchell.

**ABSENT:** None.

**ALSO PRESENT:** Joe Nagro, City Manager; Janeen Miller, City Clerk; Bill Gardiner, Assistant City Manager; Suellen Ferguson, City Attorney; Terry Schum, Director of Planning; Miriam Bader, Senior Planner; Steve Groh, Director of Finance; Cole Holocker, Student Liaison.

Mayor Fellows opened the Regular Meeting at 8:40 p.m. after the conclusion of a 7:00 p.m. Oral Argument. Councilmember Mitchell led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**Minutes:** A motion was made by Councilmember Dennis and seconded by Councilmember Brennan to approve the minutes of the Public Hearing on the Strategic Plan held on July 7, 2015, the Special Session of July 7, 2015, and the Regular Meeting on July 14, 2015. The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.

**Announcements:** None.

**Amendments to the Agenda:** None.

**City Manager's Report:** Mr. Nagro announced that this is the Council's last meeting of August; the next Worksession is September 1. He has received a request from Taylor Roethle, Vice President of External Affairs, IFC, for a \$10,000 donation from the City for the on-campus tailgates prior to football games. Last year we gave \$5,000. He looked at their budget; they have grants from Pepsi, Parents Grant, and Student Affairs. He recommended we match the Student Affairs grant for \$7,000. Council agreed to the contribution of \$7,000.

Mr. Gardiner updated Council on the pedestrian overpass at the end of Berwyn Road: There was a conference call last Friday. The one span over the Metro tracks has been ordered. Two outstanding issues remain: whether to replace both spans (the other is the span over the CSX tracks) and whether the current design is ADA compatible.

**Student Liaison's Report:** The SGA hosted the Association of Big Ten Students. The Association took a position on legislation establishing a task force to prevent sexual assault on campus, in conjunction with the Big Ten. School begins Monday, August 31, followed by a three-day weekend for Labor Day. He discussed move-ins and the football schedule.

Council requested to hear about plans for management of the Labor Day weekend activities at the September 1 Worksession.

**Comments From The Audience on Non-Agenda Items:**

**Carol Nezzo, 4600 Amherst Road:** She discussed the benefits of participating in the University's volunteer program, and specifically discussed a new initiative to support the Office of Foreign Students and the Volunteer Service Corps where they are seeking volunteers to help with foreign student orientation. She provided her contact information and said she would send an announcement directly to the Council.

**CONSENT AGENDA:** A motion was made by Councilmember Dennis and seconded by Councilmember Wojahn to adopt the Consent Agenda, which consists of the following items:

- 15-R-12** Resolution Of The Mayor And Council Of The City Of College Park, Maryland Adopting The Recommendations Of The Advisory Planning Commission Regarding Variance Application Number CPV-2015-03, 9735 Narragansett Parkway, College Park, Maryland, Recommending Approval Of A Variance From Section 27-120.01(C) Of The Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance, "Front Yards Of Dwellings," To Construct A Driveway In The Front Yard, 15.5 Feet In Width By 32.5 Feet In Length.
- 15-G-84** Award of a one-year contract extension (Option Year 3 in Contract CP-13-01) to NZI Construction Corporation of Beltsville, MD for FY '16 "Miscellaneous Concrete Maintenance and Asphalt Resurfacing" in an amount not to exceed \$721,000, funded from Fund 301 Unrestricted C.I.P. Reserve.
- 15-R-13** Resolution Of The Mayor And Council Of The City Of College Park, Maryland Establishing A Framework For Evaluating Requests For Recognitions And Commemorations.
- 15-G-85** Approval of an Amendment to Contract CP-13-01 with NZI Construction Corporation of Beltsville, MD for Miscellaneous Downtown Streetscape Improvements in an amount not to exceed \$175,000 to be funded from C.I.P. #103001, Downtown Streetscape #2, federal EDI Special Project Grant Program B-08-SP-MD-0517, subject to a determination of proper form and legal sufficiency by the City Attorney.

The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.

**ACTION ITEMS:**

- 15-G-87** Adoption of the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan and Action Plan

A motion was made by Councilmember Dennis and seconded by Councilmember Brennan that the City Council adopt the 2015–2020 Strategic Plan and Action Plan, which establishes a new City Vision, Mission, Values, and Goals for the next five years. The

**Action Plan identifies the specific steps City staff will take in order to accomplish the Strategic Plan goals.**

Councilmember Dennis said that in late 2014 the City Council initiated the development of a new Strategic Plan with the assistance of The Novak Consulting Group. The process included an environmental scan, focus group meetings, an online survey, public hearings, and all-day workshops with Council and with staff. The Strategic Plan that Council is presenting tonight for adoption articulates a new community vision for College Park, and establishes new goals and steps to achieve our vision. The 2020 Strategic Plan Goals are: One College Park, Environmental Sustainability, High Quality Development and Reinvestment, Quality Infrastructure, Effective Leadership, Excellent Services. With input from Council, City staff have developed and refined an Action Plan that identifies the steps that City departments will carry out to accomplish the goals. The Action Plan will be updated regularly, and Council may add or change items. Council and staff will work to ensure that residents, businesses, and our partners in the region understand and embrace the City's vision and goals.

There were no comments from the audience.

Councilmember Brennan said the "One College Park" goal is the most unique as it addresses issues relating to geographic boundaries, bringing together our diverse population, our growing relationship with the University and our desire for better communication utilizing different methods.

Councilmember Kabir said the tough part is in front of us: to make the plan work. The plan is as good as it can be on paper but to make it a reality we need to work hard.

Councilmember Wojahn said one of the most important goals is to expand the ways that we engage with residents and to do a better job of reaching out to those residents who do not usually have a voice. He looks forward to hiring a communications person, who he sees as doing not just communication but also engagement.

Councilmember Mitchell said each Councilmember needs to take this plan to their civic associations so the residents can be part of the process.

Mayor Fellows said we implemented many goals from the last five-year strategic plan and he is excited about the many new initiatives in the new Plan.

**The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.**

**15-G-86      Approval of the scope of services for a feasibility study for Hollywood Road extended at Mazza GrandMarc Apartments**

**A motion was made by Councilmember Mitchell and seconded by Councilmember Day that the Mayor and Council give Starr Insurance Holdings the \$500,000 in escrow back to them.**

**Comments from the audience:**

**Sam Shin, Son of owner of 9600 Baltimore Avenue:** To his untrained eye, there is no way this project would not negatively impact his father's property. If that is an acceptable casualty, then there is nothing he can say to sway them. If it does bother them, he urges them to give the money back and halt this process.

**Suzanne Johnson, 9610 Autoville Drive:** Council keeps continuing this discussion and it is driving them crazy. It should have been resolved – Ms. Yep doesn't want to do the feasibility study, the neighbors don't want it, they submitted petitions, they brought in a whole room of people to testify, and yet the Council keeps pushing to have it done. Doing eminent domain would reflect poorly on the City. Putting the light at Hollywood Road was a mistake – it should have been put in front of the Mazza property in the first place. If this keeps going they will have to get up another petition.

**Mary Cook, 4705 Kiernan Road:** One year ago this room was full of people who were opposed to the feasibility study yet the Council voted in favor of it. They were so disappointed and hurt that they wouldn't come out tonight; they don't believe in this process. If you do the feasibility study - then what? There will be 20 more steps before a road can be built, which will cost the taxpayers about \$1.3 million, which we don't have.

Councilmember Mitchell asked Ms. Yep if a one-way alignment is feasible. Ms. Yep said their driveway has the main water line, so they would have to keep the current driveway or move the water line and then develop the one way road, so they would be "dinged." They would use the current driveway for going into the property then would have to build the one-way road. It would be very expensive: the various studies would cost about \$800,000, then to take the land would be about \$1M, and that doesn't include construction costs. The feasibility study will provide construction costs.

Councilmember Mitchell asked how much each community meeting costs. Ms. Yep said each community meeting with her consultant would cost about \$1,000. Ms. Yep said they will pay up to \$500,000, but questions whether the City would be able to fund the construction of the road.

Mr. Holocker said he was speaking for residents of Mazza out of concern for the safety of people making left turns into the shared center lane. This access is incompatible with future Route 1 reconstruction. He wouldn't want to take any property but when the project was developed the owner agreed to allocate \$500,000 to study this roadway. It doesn't mean we have to build it, or that circumstances of those businesses wouldn't change in the future. By undertaking this study with money obligated by Mazza we would know what the options are.

Councilmember Brennan echoed the safety concerns mentioned by Mr. Holocker and said they are attempting to represent a significant population of people who haven't shown up for these meetings who are making dangerous choices. There are unknown items that might come out of this feasibility study and he would benefit from having this information. Doing the feasibility study does not necessarily mean building the road. He is empathetic to the community but the assumptions that he is hearing are creating false dilemmas and fear.

**A amended motion was made by Councilmember Brennan and seconded by Councilmember Wojahn that the scope of services submitted by VIKA Maryland, LLC for a feasibility study to extend Hollywood Road west of US Route 1 to the Mazza GrandMarc Apartments be approved subject to the following modifications:**

- 1. Item # 2 under Project Assumptions shall be revised to state that the alternative alignments should be designed to minimize the impact to adjoining properties to the extent possible and that consideration shall be given to a one-way alignment alternative if practicable.**
- 2. Item #11 under Project Assumptions shall be revised to clarify that there will be a minimum of two meetings with community stakeholders; one meeting to review the alternative alignments to be studied and one meeting to present the final results of the study.**
- 3. Item # 3 Hollywood Road Site Layout and Grading Plan under Scope of Services shall be revised to include community stakeholders in the discussions with the client and city staff in determining the three alternative alignments to be studied.**
- 4. Item # 9 Project Meetings under Scope of Services shall be revised to add adjoining property owners, community stakeholders and city staff to those already listed.**

Councilmember Brennan commented that \$500,000 is held in escrow by Starr Insurance Holding, Inc. for the planning, design and construction of the extension of Hollywood Road west of Route 1 as required under an Agreement with the City. The feasibility study is estimated to cost \$66,500. The study will be conducted by VIKA engineers under the supervision of Starr and in conjunction with city staff, adjoining property owners and community stakeholders. The results will be presented to the City Council at which time the next steps will be considered.

**Comments from the audience on the Amendment:**

**Unidentified Business Owner in Mr. Shin's property:** No matter what feasibility study you do, you will still have a road cutting through a property. Just give the money back.

**Keri Sargent, 9610 Autoville Drive, business owner in Mr. Shin's property:** Are you saying that the safety of the Mazza residents getting out of their property is more important than the livelihood of the business owners? All of you know there is no other way besides taking part of the businesses. We have worried about this for months wondering when this is going to happen and how our lives are going to be affected; put yourselves in our shoes.

**Diane Yep, 399 Park Avenue, NYC, NY:** She clarified the ownership: PPC/CHP Maryland; Starr Insurance Holdings is an investor. If safety is the issue, make it right turn only when you leave the property. They have completed 25 of the 26 conditions. One was to complete the Hollywood Road light. Mayor Fellows asked if this could be done as part of the feasibility study. Ms. Yep said yes, it could. Councilmember Brennan said there is a restricted sign there, but Ms. Yep said people still turn left.

Ms. Schum said a condition of approval of the Mazza GrandMarc project regarded access. It is no left turn from the property – it is right out only and left in. You can't restrict access to right-out only without building another access – you have to allow left turns in. That condition would have to be modified with the Planning Board. Ms. Schum said the feasibility study could address this issue.

**Sam Shin:** This process itself is detrimental to the businesses; having this hanging over them devalues the properties.

**Comments from the Council on the amendment:**

Councilmember Day said running businesses out of College Park is not what we should be doing. The uncertainty of being able to support your family puts a strain on every day. There is an opportunity to correct the entrance to Mazza, yet we are still trying to push forward even though the residents, businesses and the person financing it do not want to move forward. Who do we really want to support in our City? We should have the police ticket people at Mazza. If we keep running businesses out, no other businesses are going to want to come in. This is a bad idea.

Councilmember Mitchell said we just passed a strategic plan about engaging our residents and businesses, and yet we come to this motion and we cannot accept that these long-term residents and small businesses say they do not want this. A study triggers money and would trigger the ongoing process of completing a road that would take away homes. She does not see how we can go forward with this.

Councilmember Wojahn said we have to decide how to balance the safety and lives of our residents who are put at risk by a dangerous traffic situation with the protection and viability of some of our important small businesses. He does not think we should make that determination without having the full range of information before us, so he supports moving forward with this feasibility study.

Mr. Holocker said he doesn't think one business is more valuable than another, nor that we can judge the life of a person more than the value of a business. He would not support building a road with the current conditions that exist. But, sites can change over time. We can decide to not move forward after the feasibility study is done, but it would be good to have the information, especially when there is money that has been obligated for this purpose.

Councilmember Hew said no one is talking about taking homes. No one wants eminent domain. This is just a study being done in a commercial district, not in a residential community. It is our obligation to consider how to improve Route 1 and this is part of that effort and was decided years ago. He is looking for valuable information that was promised by a previous Council. We want to find a way to have the least impact on businesses. What we do with that information is a decision for another day.

Councilmember Kabir said he asked the consultant last week if there would be an impact on the businesses, and he said yes. The study will determine how large the impact will be. It sounds good to spend the money since it is someone else's money – not the taxpayers. So then what? We will use eminent domain to take out that property, like we tried with #1 Liquors. What message are we sending to our business community? He hopes we can find some common ground to make safety improvements without impacting the businesses.

Councilmember Brennan said making these slippery slope arguments is dangerous. He asked if studying another roadway into or out of Mazza could be part of the scope of work for this feasibility study.

Ms. Schum said she believed that would be outside of this scope of work; the intention of the money was to study Hollywood Road extended. Access into and out of Mazza is on the Detailed Site Plan for the property and any modifications would be an amendment to the approved DSP and would have to go back to the Planning Board, which is outside of this scope.

Mayor Fellows said one potential outcome of the feasibility study might suggest a process where you would do something else to improve public safety.

Councilmember Day one possible outcome would lead to developing a road that would cost millions of dollars to the taxpayers. There may not be residences there, but if someone cuts off your paycheck and you can't pay your bills, that is taking your house. Instead maybe we should be working with SHA to redesign that intersection.

**Vote on the amendment:**

**Aye: Wojahn, Brennan, Stulich, Hew**

**Nay: Kabir, Dennis, Day, Mitchell**

**Mayor Fellows voted Yes to break the tie and the amendment carried.**

**Vote on the main motion as amended:**

**Aye: Wojahn, Brennan, Stulich, Hew**

**Nay: Kabir, Dennis, Day, Mitchell**

**Mayor Fellows voted Yes to break the tie and the amended motion carried.**

**15-G-88      Approval of Amendment Three To Agreement Of Lease By And Between  
City Of College Park And Ledo Restaurant, Inc.**

**A motion was made by Councilmember Day and seconded by Councilmember Dennis to approve a Third Amendment to the Agreement of Lease between the City Of College Park and Ledo Restaurant, Inc., to authorize current lease payments based on \$15.00 per square foot from October 1, 2013 through August, 2017. The rent will increase 2% on September 1, 2017 and for each of the two following years (2018 and 2019), without waiving the right to collect rent based on the original lease terms. Prior to September 1, 2020, staff will meet with Ledo's to work out an arrangement for future rent calculations and to resolve any non-payment issues. Loan payments will continue on the prior amortization schedule. The City will not pursue at this time any prior unpaid or reduced amounts but does not waive any rights with respect to these amounts. The lease amendment will be drafted by the City Attorney consistent with this motion, and the City Manager is authorized to sign the lease amendment.**

Councilmember Day said the City supports local businesses, and as part of that effort has leased the commercial space in the City parking garage to Ledo Restaurant, Inc. The owners of Ledo's have requested the ability to pay a per square foot rent that is less than that required by the lease for a specified period of time. The Council has determined that it is appropriate to allow for this

limited time arrangement. Ledo's will continue to repay the loan to the City in the originally scheduled basis.

There were no comments from the audience.

Councilmember Kabir said he likes the idea of supporting our local businesses and Ledo is a signature restaurant, but he has concerns about lowering the rent to \$15/square foot from \$25, when other businesses pay a higher rate. We are afraid that we might lose this business if we don't lower the rent and it would be difficult to find a replacement business, but there are other new businesses moving into Route 1 and there will be more customers because new housing is being built. He will abstain.

**The motion passed 6 – 0 – 1 (Hew absent; Kabir abstained).**

**15-O-04 Introduction Of Ordinance 15-O-04, An Ordinance Of The Mayor And Council Of The City Of College Park, Amending Chapter 175 "Taxation", Article IV, "Revitalization Tax Credit", Sections §175-9 "Eligibility Requirements"; §175-10 "Eligibility Criteria"; §175-11 "Tax Credit – Amount And Term"; §175-12 "Application Process"; And §175-13 "Waiver", To Change Eligibility Requirements And Criteria, To Clarify That A Tax Credit Will Be Granted Only If Financially Feasible, To Clarify The Application Process, And To Delete A Certain Waiver Option.**

**A motion was made by Councilmember Wojahn and seconded by Councilmember Kabir to introduce Ordinance 15-O-04, An Ordinance Of The Mayor And Council Of The City Of College Park, Amending Chapter 175 "Taxation", Article IV, "Revitalization Tax Credit", Sections §175-9 "Eligibility Requirements"; §175-10 "Eligibility Criteria"; §175-11 "Tax Credit – Amount And Term"; §175-12 "Application Process"; And §175-13 "Waiver", To Change Eligibility Requirements And Criteria, To Clarify That A Tax Credit Will Be Granted Only If Financially Feasible, To Clarify The Application Process, And To Delete A Certain Waiver Option.**

Mayor Fellows announced that the Public Hearing on this Ordinance is scheduled for 7:15 p.m. on Tuesday, September 8, 2015 in the Council Chambers

**15-G-89 Approval of the City Manager's Employment Agreement**

The City Attorney briefed the Council on the status of the contract negotiations. There are three substantive issues that are outstanding:

- 1) Council had already approved the contribution to deferred compensation, but the candidate is requesting that the contribution be spread out over the year rather than as a one-time contribution. She suggested that is a good idea – it doesn't change the amount.
- 2) The candidate is desirous of having a 30-day time for termination of employment. Right now the agreement says 90 days. Council might want to agree to a compromise of 60 days.
- 3) Reimbursement of moving expenses: there was a request that the candidate's partner be included in visits to locate new housing. This was included in option B.

Mayor Fellows said 60 days seemed like a reasonable request.

**A motion was made by Councilmember Brennan and seconded by Councilmember Stullich to approve a contract in substantially the form attached, with the changes that were just suggested tonight.**

Councilmember Brennan thanked the stakeholder groups and everyone who has been affected by this process, and the Council for their thoughtful deliberation. It was a good process, they saw a lot of very qualified people, and we look forward to working with this candidate and see a very bright future.

Councilmember Stullich agreed that it was a good process with helpful participation from the stakeholder group and City staff and thoughtful discussion among the Council. We should be pleased that we have an outstanding City Manager who is preparing to join us.

**The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.**

Mayor Fellows announced that his name is Scott Somers, and that his starting date is still to be determined.

**15-G-91      Discussion and possible approval of a Council position on certain design issues on SHA's proposed U.S. Route 1 reconstruction**

Mr. Gardiner provided an overview: Last week the State Highway Administration (SHA) came to Council and presented the overview of the current design for reconstruction of US 1 from College Avenue to 193. The City and University have been meeting with SHA to go over the parcel-by-parcel detail of the plan, and we have expressed our concerns in these meetings about the pedestrian and bike facilities provided in the plan. It would be helpful to staff to have a formal position by Council expressing concerns about pedestrian buffers and bicycle lanes as we move forward in these meetings. Last week's SHA presentation was very general and did not provide an opportunity for us to convey these concerns.

**A motion was made by Councilmember Wojahn and seconded by Councilmember Kabir for the City to express its concerns with the current SHA plans, as presented on August 5, 2015, for pedestrian and bicycle facilities for the reconstruction of Baltimore Avenue. This motion authorizes City staff to work with the County, the University of Maryland, elected officials, the business and property owners along Baltimore Avenue, and SHA officials, to create an appropriate buffered pedestrian zone with adequate sidewalks, improved access management onto Baltimore Avenue, the appropriate location of utilities, and an improved buffer for bicyclists.**

Councilmember Wojahn said the City, County, and University have been meeting and will continue to meet with SHA on these design issues. This motion is to convey the Council's concerns and support for the positions identified above. Council may wish to review the plans in greater detail and provide additional comments in the fall after the parties have met with SHA.

He added that this project provides an opportunity for SHA to do something right that will benefit the City for many years to come.

**The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.**

### **15-G-90      Appointments to Boards and Committees**

A motion was made by Councilmember Wojahn and seconded by Councilmember Kabir to appoint Kate Kennedy to the Advisory Planning Commission, Eric Hoffman and Christine O'Brien to the Tree and Landscape Board, Pat Noone to the Animal Welfare Committee, Bob Schnabel to the Neighborhood Quality of Life Committee, and Dave Dorsch to the Airport Authority. The motion passed 8 – 0 – 0.

### **COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

Councilmember Wojahn discussed his accident on July 18 where he was hit by a car on Route 193. He has been touched and humbled by the people who have come to his assistance. He thanked public safety officials, his husband, and members of the community. It is a difficult thing to have to go through, but it has taught him a lot.

**ADJOURN:** A motion was made by Councilmember Stullich and seconded by Councilmember Dennis to adjourn the Regular Meeting. With a vote of 8 – 0 – 0, Mayor Fellows adjourned the meeting at 10:35 p.m.

---

Janeen S. Miller, CMC City Clerk	Date Approved
-------------------------------------	------------------

---

Pursuant to §C6-3 of the College Park City Charter, at 7:00 p.m. on August 5, 2015, a motion was made by Councilmember Brennan and seconded by Councilmember Dennis to enter into a Closed Session to consult with Counsel on a legal matter. The motion passed 5 – 0 – 0 and the Council entered into the closed session at 7:00 p.m.

**Present:** Mayor Andrew Fellows; Councilmembers Wojahn, Brennan, Dennis, Day and Hew. Councilmember Kabir arrived at 7:02 p.m. and Councilmember Mitchell arrived at 7:08 p.m.

**Absent:** Councilmember Stullich.

**Also Present:** Joe Nagro, City Manager; Suellen Ferguson, City Attorney; Bill Gardiner, Assistant City Manager; Janeen Miller, City Clerk; Steve Groh, Director of Finance; Cole Holocker, Student Liaison; Adler Pruitt, Deputy Student Liaison.

**Topics Discussed:** The City Attorney advised the City Council about possible amendments to an existing lease agreement.

**Actions Taken:** None.

**Adjourn:** A motion was made by Councilmember Dennis and seconded by Councilmember Wojahn to adjourn the closed session, and at 7:21 p.m. with a vote of 7 – 0 – 0, Mayor Fellows adjourned the meeting.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and City Council met in Administrative Function session on Tuesday, July 21, 2015 to conduct an interview with a City Manager candidate. From 7:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m. they met at Ledo’s Restaurant and from 8:10 – 9:45 p.m. the session continued in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Mayor Fellows, Councilmembers Dennis, Brennan, Kabir, Stullich, Hew, Mitchell and Day were present. Councilmember Wojahn participated in part of the session by telephone.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and City Council met in Administrative Function session on Wednesday, July 22, 2015 to conduct an interview with a City Manager candidate. From 7:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m. they met at Ledo’s Restaurant and from 8:00 – 9:40 p.m. the session continued in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Mayor Fellows and all members of the City Council were present.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and City Council met in Administrative Function session from 7:00 p.m. – 8:40 p.m. on Tuesday, July 28, 2015 in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Mayor Fellows, Councilmembers Mitchell, Hew, Wojahn, Kabir, Day, Stullich, and Dennis were present. Councilmember Brennan participated by telephone. The City Council discussed the City Manager search.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and members of the City Council met in Administrative Function session from 7:00 p.m. – 10:25 p.m. on Thursday, July 30, 2015 in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Present were Mayor Fellows and Councilmembers Dennis, Stullich, and Wojahn. Reference checks in connection with the City Manager search were conducted.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and City Council met in Administrative Function session from approximately 8:00 p.m. - 9:05 p.m. on Monday, August 3, 2015 (following the “Aging-In-Place Task Force” meeting) in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Mayor Fellows and all members of the City Council were present for this meeting. The City Council discussed the City Manager search.

---

---

Pursuant To §3-103 of the General Provisions Article of the Maryland Annotated Code, the Mayor and City Council met in Administrative Function session from 11:25 p.m. (following the Worksession) – 11:50 p.m. on Wednesday, August 5, 2015 in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Mayor Fellows, all members of the City Council, and City Attorney Suellen Ferguson were present for this meeting. The City Council discussed the City Manager search.

---

---