Approved: 092815
M&C
Somers
Gardiner

Committee for a Better Environment
Fishnet Restaurant, College Park
July 27, 2015
7:00 PM

Members	Present	Absent
Suchitra Balachandran		X
Matt Dernoga	X	
Karen Garvin	X	
Susan Keller	X	
Janis Oppelt	X	
Kennis Termini		X
Donna Weene	X	

Guests Present: Steve Beavers, City Liaison; Sheryl DeWalt, Contract Secretary

The meeting was called to order at 7:07pm by Ms. Oppelt.

1. Review and approval 6/22/15 meeting minutes

Ms. Oppelt made a motion to approve the draft minutes from the June 22, 2015 meeting with the one correction stated. Mr. Dernoga seconded the motion. All in favor; no opposed.

2. Budget

Mr. Beavers reported that the cost of the bench and the tree bags and dog stations would be funded from the FY 2015 budget. The budget for FY 2016 is $11,000.

Ms. Weene asked for $150 for the Girl Scouts for the College Park Woods pool planting project. Ms. Oppelt made a motion to spend $150 for this project. Mr. Dernoga seconded the motion. All in favor; no opposed.

3**. Ongoing Business**

a. **Green Awards.** The awards are being advertised through the website and on the Municipal Scene. Mr. Beavers stated he will also get it on the tv channel. Ms. Oppelt will also share the information with the Civic Association Presidents and workshop attendees. These awards will be given out at College Park Day at the end of September.

b. **Permaculture.** The weeding must be done on a regular basis, perhaps once every two weeks. Mr. Beavers will reach out to the community service department at the University of Maryland to see if they are interested in helping. A date needs to be set for the second phase of planting.

c. **Community Garden.** There are currently 20 garden plots and 19 have been rented. On Tuesday evening, July 28, there will be free wood chips for placing on the gardens. Just a reminder that all plots should be cleaned by mid-December.

d. **Quiet Lawns initiative.** Ms. Garvin presented her research and a proposal for noise ordinance compliance. There was a suggestion that sample ordinances of surrounding communities, e.g., Takoma Park, are researched to help write a proposal to be presented to City Council. Information can also be placed on the CBE website. The decision was made to table this discussion and revisit at the September meeting.

4. **New Business**

a. Tabled discussion on creating a Facebook page/social media page.

b. Bill Gardiner, Assistant City Manager, made a suggestion to purchase a movie "Inhabit" about permaculture. The cost is $100. Ms. Weene made a motion to spend $100 for the movie. Mr. Dernoga seconded the motion. All in favor; no opposed.

c. Mr. Dernoga is having a solar panel party on September 26 working with Solar City. He asked for an endorsement from CBE and permission to use the logo on the flyer. It was determined that permission cannot be granted.

d. On August 11 at the Greenbelt Library there will be a film on Fracking followed by a discussion.

e. The Maryland chapter of the Sierra Club is working on a Zero Waste Program and would value any assistance.

5. **Next meeting date**

The next regularly scheduled meeting will be Monday, September 28, 2015 at 7:00pm.

A motion was made by Ms. Weene to adjourn the meeting and seconded by Ms. Oppelt. The meeting was adjourned at 8:45pm.

Minutes recorded and submitted by Sheryl DeWalt, Contract Secretary

Committee for a Better Environment

July 27, 2015 meeting

Creating a Green Zone for College Park

Wouldn't it be wonderful to put the "park" back into College Park? A place where you can spend quiet time outside, relaxing, enjoying nature, and visiting with neighbors without being assaulted by high levels of noise and air pollution?

As a long-time resident of College Park, I am concerned about the levels of noise and air pollution within our city. While traffic has some impact, I want to discuss in particular the noise and air pollution produced by lawn equipment, especially leaf blowers. And the problem is becoming worse as residents increasingly hire contractors to do lawn work.

One solution might be to join the American Green Zone Alliance. Another would be to ban leaf blowers and restrict the use of lawn equipment to nonpolluting models that meet strict decibel requirements.

Failing an outright ban on leaf blowers, here are some alternatives:

- Restrict leaf blower use to models that meet stringent decibel-limit guidelines of 65 decibels or less at the source
- Restrict leaf blower usage to electric blowers only (they must also meet decibel guidelines)
- Restrict usage to business hours, with an early evening cut-off, such as 6:00 p.m.
- Restrict usage to certain days of the week, excluding Sunday and all major holidays
- Ban usage on days when the pollen count is predicted to be at unsafe levels
- Restrict the number of leaf blowers that can be used simultaneously on a single yard

All restrictions on the use of leaf blowers must be applied equally to residents and commercial landscaping companies (licensed or otherwise) that work in College Park neighborhoods.

Regarding landscaping companies, work hours should be restricted to typical business hours. No landscaper should

- Commence non-emergency work on Sundays or major holidays that will require making noise greater than 85 decibels
- Operate equipment earlier than 9 a.m. nor later than the evening cut-off time

According to the Committee for a Better Environment's own "Noise in Our Community" brochure, leaf blowers produce approximately 115 decibels of sound, which is much higher than the acceptable safe limit of 85 decibels. ***And, it exceeds the City's own daily 65-decibel limit noise ordinance level by 50 decibels.*** And the high-frequency noise is reflected by surrounding structures, thus exacerbating the problem.

Exposure to dangerously high noise levels is a serious health issue and should be treated as such. The effects of leaf blowers go beyond the threat to our ears: as the CBE notes, noise contributes to high blood pressure and other health concerns. The amount of noise generated by high-power lawn equipment is dangerous — and they are, quite literally, assault weapons.

The noise control ordinance provides a mechanism to restore balance to our community. However, some loopholes may need to be closed and clarification given to specific noise sources. For instance, Section 138-5 states "Unless it is for the purpose of necessary property maintenance during the day..." A concern is that people will say blowing leaves is "necessary property maintenance." Perhaps a distinction between emergency and routine property maintenance is in order. Or we should consider how else we might bring lawn equipment under the scope of the noise ordinance rather than allowing it to exceed noise levels.

In addition to noise, leaf blowers negatively impact our environment. Gas models pollute the air through their exhaust, and both gas and electric models spray mold, pollen, road dirt, and other particulate matter into the air. This is unhealthy for everyone, but it is especially so for anyone with respiratory distress, such as allergies and asthma.

Leaf blowers prevent residents from hanging laundry out to dry to avoid using electricity or gas to run their clothes dryers.

Residents are limited to spending time in their yards when they have neighbors who fire up a leaf blower at any odd hour. No one wants to have a picnic while dirt is sprayed all over them.

Going for a walk is likewise a problem, because you never know when someone is going to aim their leaf blower at you. The neighborhood has become a noise battleground: the leaf blower user has become a bully who ruins the outdoor experience for everyone.

American Green Zone Alliance
http://www.agza.net/green-zones-overview

Cornell University School of Law
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/4913
42 U.S. Code, Section 4913 — Quiet communities, research, and public information

Quiet Communities
https://www.quietcommunities.org/

> Quiet Communities is a nonprofit organization "dedicated to protecting our health, environment, and quality of life from the excessive use of industrial outdoor maintenance equipment." The website has information about new "green" equipment and articles about alternative lawn maintenance programs such as leaf mulching and the use of manual gardening tools, as well as information on how to create a full or partial ban (https://www.quietcommunities.org/regulations-qc/).

Nationwide Leafblower Ban
http://www.nationwideleafblowerban.org/

Love 'Em and Leave 'Em
http://www.leleny.org/
An initiative to reduce yard waste by mulching leaves rather than putting them at the curb for pickup.

Noise Pollution Clearinghouse
http://www.nonoise.org/
A nonprofit organization with online noise-related materials, including a law library and resources.

Citizens for a Quieter Sacramento
http://www.nonoise.org/quietnet/cqs/cqs.htm

Pollen and Mold Counts
Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America, https://www.aafa.org/display.cfm?id=9&sub=19&cont=264

Articles:
Edmunds, "Emissions Test: Car vs. Truck vs. Leaf Blower."
http://www.edmunds.com/car-reviews/features/emissions-test-car-vs-truck-vs-leaf-blower.html

Will Harper, "The Sound and the Fury," metroactive,
http://www.metroactive.com/papers/cruz/12.03.98/leaf-blowers-9848.html

Lisa Goines and Louis Hagler, "Noise Pollution: A Modern Plague," Medscape,
http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/554566_3

Cliff Weathers, "Modern Pestilence: Leaf Blowers Generate Infuriating Noise, Toxic Gases and Hazardous Dust."
http://www.alternet.org/modern-pestilence-leaf-blowers-generate-infuriating-noise-toxic-gases-and-hazardous-dust

Emissions Test: Car vs. Truck vs. Leaf Blower_AAA Emissions Testing by Edmunds.com

Article Source: http://www.edmunds.com/car-reviews/features/emissions-test-car-vs-truck-vs-leaf-blower.html
Video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pDxQIHoTmxs

Even in the complex, expensive and highly political world of emissions testing and certification, rumors are a bitch. And in California — where various government agencies bring to bear the world's toughest vehicle emissions regulations on the most dense car enthusiast population anywhere — it pays to investigate rumors.

So that's what we're doing.

You've probably heard stories about the emissions of today's cars being cleaner than lawn equipment, about modern cars actually cleaning the air and about the pre-emissions-control era when birds fell from the stinking sky. So have we. We're all about busting myths, so we concocted an investigation to find the truth. Forget about the birds, but those other rumors, well, we've got them covered.

Big, Small and Handheld

Early on, we decided to go big. We'd run this emissions test at a real-deal emissions lab rather than a smog check station or asking Magrath to inhale at the tailpipes and offer commentary on their bouquets.
It would have been easy to load this test in favor of the vehicles by hand-picking the cleanest combustion-powered vehicle we could find. No, only the biggest, baddest truck will do, and they don't come much bigger or badder than the 2011 Ford F-150 SVT Raptor Crew Cab. Acting as a counterweight in perception to this pickup is our long-term 2012 Fiat 500.

The vehicles are absolutely poles apart. The Raptor packs a 411-horsepower 6.2-liter V8, weighs more than 6,200 pounds and has the aerodynamics of Mount Rushmore. The dollop-size Fiat weighs a mere 2,350 pounds and has a 1.4-liter four that generates less than one-fourth the amount of power as the Raptor. They couldn't be more different, and capturing extremes is the idea.

Like you, we made a trip Home Depot to buy a leaf blower. And like all trips to Home Depot, we lost 3 hours and bought more than we intended. In this case we ended up with two leaf blowers — a two-stroke backpack-style job and a handheld four-stroke unit. The two-stroke leaf blower in this test is an Echo PB-500T, a model that sits in the middle of the manufacturer's range of backpack-style offerings. It's powered by a 50.8cc two-stroke air-cooled single-cylinder engine. The Ryobi is a RY09440 model that brings a 30cc four-stroke engine. Yes, we're pitting a 6,210cc truck against a 30cc leaf blower.

Two-stroke engines have high power density, making them the engine of choice among commercial and prosumer-grade leaf blowers, but they emit more pollutants than four-strokes. The four-stroke leaf blower in this test is the Fiat to the two-stroke's Raptor. That was the idea, anyway.

Making the Sausage

It turns out that our local branch of the American Automobile Association (AAA), Auto Club of Southern California, runs exactly the kind of emissions lab we had in mind. It's called the Automotive Research Center, and it's in Diamond Bar, California. There, the fine people of AAA ran full FTP 75 emissions cycles on the Raptor and the 500.
The FTP 75 cycle is one of the primary yardsticks in the U.S. certification of light-duty vehicle emissions and fuel economy. It consists of — stay with us here — three major sub-tests called phases, each of which is defined by a specific pattern of speed versus time. Phase 1 is a 505-second cold-start cycle and is followed by Phase 2, which is a "stabilized" test that lasts 864 seconds. Phase 3 is a repeat of the Phase 1 test, the only difference being that it is performed when the engine is fully warmed.

All three phases of the FTP 75 are run with the vehicle strapped to a chassis dynamometer. But before the FTP 75 can be run, an elaborate pretest sequence is carried out for each vehicle. We'll spare you the details, but suffice it to say that it is very thorough, very tedious and very time-consuming. This pretest procedure takes the better part of a 24-hour period to carry out per vehicle.

Once the pretest is complete, the roller-turning, emissions-gathering part of the FTP 75 can be performed. Here, the vehicle is "driven" by a skilled technician on the dyno over a prescribed pattern of speed versus time while the exhaust is sampled and bagged. If the speed of the vehicle (as measured by the dynamometer) falls outside of a

narrow band, the test is voided and the whole expensive process must be repeated, including that protracted pretest process. A technician that flubs with any kind of frequency has a very short career in this field.

It's worth noting that the load on the dyno rollers is adjusted to reflect the aerodynamics and drivetrain loss of the vehicle being tested. So the Raptor is indeed being asked to work harder at a given speed than the Fiat, just as they'd do in the real world.

Comparing Apples to Kumquats: Creating the Leaf Blower Test Cycle

The FTP 75 test simulates 11.04 miles driven over 31.2 minutes and includes idle periods, accelerations, decelerations and cruising. This driving cycle works great when testing things that boast driven wheels: less so for leaf blowers which, of course, don't.
Therefore we needed to come up with a test for the leaf blowers that provided a basis of comparison to the vehicles, yet still reflects the way lawn equipment is actually used in practice. Observe leaf blowers in the wild and you'll find they are very often operated at either full whack or idle. Our test would have to mimic this usage pattern.

It didn't have to be leaf blowers. We considered testing lawnmowers or string trimmers, but they introduce an element of complexity — load. To properly load those devices we'd need the resistance provided by grass and shrubs, and there wasn't time to grow a lush enough lawn in Auto Club's dyno cell. That's why we settled on leaf blowers — they have essentially one knob, and that's blower speed.

With these factors in mind, the test we crafted for the leaf blowers followed the FTP 75's duration and speed-up/slow-down pattern with a twist — we substituted vehicle speed with leaf blower speed. We gave the blowers full speed during the cruise periods defined by the FTP 75. The idle periods remained idle periods and boom, there's our leaf blower emissions test.

The Results

During the FTP 75 test, exhaust gas from the vehicle's tailpipe is captured and analyzed by laboratory-grade equipment that's so expensive it makes the Kentucky Derby look like the Pinewood Derby. This lab equipment measures all kinds of compounds coming out of the tailpipe but the three we will focus on are those with which EPA and CARB are primarily concerned, namely, non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC), oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and carbon monoxide (CO).

What's that? Fewer words and more numbers? Here, then, are pollutants measured during our testing expressed in weighted grams per minute:

	NMHC	NOx	CO
2011 Ford Raptor	0.005	0.005	0.276
2012 Fiat 500	0.016	0.010	0.192
Ryobi 4-stroke leaf blower	0.182	0.031	3.714
Echo 2-stroke leaf blower	1.495	0.010	6.445

Distilling the above results, the four-stroke Ryobi leaf blower kicked out 6.8 times more NOx, 13.5 times more CO and more than 36 times more NMHC than the Raptor.

Clearly, engine displacement plays little part in the concentrations of these pollutants. Consider that the Fiat 500 produced more than double the NOx and more than three times the hydrocarbons of the truck. A close look at the vehicles' underhood emissions labels sheds further light — the Fiat 500 is classed as LEV-II, whereas the Raptor in California trim is ULEV-II. The Raptor's emissions control equipment is simply more capable. It's only in the production of carbon dioxide (CO_2) — not yet directly regulated by EPA or CARB — where the Raptor is the higher emitter.

Here, I'll Tie One Hand Behind My Back

Maybe you think the above test was unduly hard on the leaf blowers. To evaluate that notion, we ran a follow-up test on the leaf blowers. We simply started them up and let them idle for 505 seconds — the duration of the Phase 1 portion of the FTP 75 — while collecting their emissions. Idling, that's all, nothing else. The only way the leaf blowers could produce fewer emissions than this is if they were shut off.

We then compared the leaf blowers' idle test results to those of the vehicles running their Phase 1 driving cycle of the FTP 75 test. Remember, this is the 505-second cold-start portion of the test, which is when the vehicles produce the majority of their total emissions since their catalytic converters are still waking up.

In other words, this is a best-case scenario for the leaf blowers and a worst-case scenario for the vehicles. The data below are expressed in grams per minute:

	NMHC	NOx	CO
Phase 1 - 2011 Ford Raptor	0.021	0.013	0.725
Phase 1 - 2012 Fiat 500	0.075	0.032	0.544
Idling - Ryobi 4-stroke leaf blower	0.077	0.002	1.822
Idling - Echo 2-stroke leaf blower	1.367	0.000	2.043

Here, the overall picture improves only slightly for the leaf blowers. Of note is that NOx is near zero for the lawn equipment. This is logical, as the formation of NOx tracks with combustion temperature, which is lowest at idle. Carbon monoxide output of the lowest-emitting Ryobi leaf blower outstrips that of both door-slammers combined, and the two-stroke Echo in particular still belches out several times more hydrocarbons than the vehicles.

You'd have to drive a Raptor 235 miles — stopping every 505 seconds and doing cold restarts — to emit the same level of hydrocarbons as simply idling the two-stroke leaf blower for less than 10 minutes.

Drive a Raptor. Clean the Air

Remember that crazy-expensive lab equipment that measures exhaust emissions? It also measures the emissions makeup of the ambient air that the vehicles draw in through their intake tracts. This is important because, well, what if your emissions lab was located next to a natural gas vent? Only by measuring what goes into and out of the vehicle and comparing the differences can the vehicle's contribution to emissions be accurately assessed.
Here's why you should care. When the Raptor (and the Fiat) was running Phase 2 of its tests on the dyno, it was cleaning the air of hydrocarbons. Yes, there were actually fewer hydrocarbons in the Raptor's exhaust than in the air it — and we — breathed. In the Raptor's case, the ambient air contained 2.821 ppm of total hydrocarbons, and the amount of total hydrocarbons coming out the Raptor's tailpipe measured 2.639 ppm.

So if you want to go green, ditch the yard equipment and blow leaves using a Raptor.

The manufacturer provided Edmunds the Raptor for the purposes of evaluation.